

# **Developing PDX-Derived Organoid Models for Efficacy Evaluation of Anticancer Therapies**

Weizhong Zhang\*, Xinyi Zhao, Yufeng Chang, Yifei Jiang, Fan Jia, Zonghaoyu Sun, Jiahe Li, Xuehua Zhang, Xudong Yan, Qinjuan Zhou, Dengqing Zhang, Yunkun Guan, Xueli Mei, Fei Yan, Chen Zhao, Junle Liao, Zishi Liu, Qundi Mei, Jingxuan Zhang, Yuanyuan He, Xinyu Zhang, Jiewen Zhang, Wei Sun\*, Baohong Cao\*

Shanghai Medicilon Inc., 585 Chuan Da Rd, Pudong, Shanghai, China, \* Corresponding Authors of This Work

### ABSTRACT

Developing most fitting models that best reflect real patient tumor system is crucial for advancing cancer mechanistic research and mediating drug discovery. Patient derived xenograft (PDX) models can accurately reflect cancer responses to therapies, thus being recognized as a gold standard in oncology drug development. However, PDX models can be labor intensive, time-consuming and costly. Cancer organoid is 3D infinitesimal derived from patient tumor cells, which can faithfully recapitulate genetic profile, mutational landscape, phenotypic and histo-pathological features and responses to therapies of patient tumors. Organoids derived from PDX tumors, namely PDXOs, are suitable for in vitro anticancer drug efficacy evaluation, forming good combinations with their in vivo PDX counterparts. By 2025-March, we have established >30 PDXO models from our PDX bio-bank. These models cover different cancer types, including colon cancer, gastric cancer, pancreatic cancer, lung cancer, liver cancer to name a few. Herein we present our latest progress of PDXO model development for anticancer drug efficacy evaluation uses.

### **METHODS**

PDXOs were developed using Matrigel dome embedding approach with fresh cell digests from PDX tumor tissues. The model development included continuous expansion for >3 passages followed with cryopreservation and downstream analysis. The morphology features of each model were assessed using bright field imaging (BFI) and H&E staining. Whole exome sequencing (WES) and mRNAseq profiles were available for each model. Immuno-cytochemical staining (ICC) and flow cytometry were used to assess the biomarker expression. BFI, CellTiter-Glo (CTG) assay, LDH release assay and fluorescence imaging were used for drug efficacy evaluation.





> The unique 3D morphology features of PDXOs could be assessed using BFI and H&E. Their drug responses could be assessed using CTG assay and BFI.

## **Abstract Presentation No. 26**



Fig 4. Mini-Multi-Omics Studies on PDXOs with Different Expressions of HER2 and EGFR. 2 Colon Cancer PDXOs, 069C and 102C, were selected based on their different expressions of HER2 (a) and EGFR (b) from mRNAseq results. Their HER2 (a) and EGFR (b) expressions were further assessed using flow cytometry and ICC, showing that 069C was HER2high EGFR high while 102C was HER2<sup>low</sup>EGFR<sup>low</sup>. This finding was consistent with the downstream *in vitro* efficacy evaluation results to T-DXd (an an-

Efficacy Evaluation Using Co-Culture of PDXO with PBMCs









Fig 5. Evaluation of Anti-Cancer Efficacy of PBMCs on PDXO (163C, Colon Cancer) Using A Co-Culture System. (a) LDH elease assav showed a dose-responsive effect of PBMCs on PDXO. (b) Time-course analysis using fluorescence imaging showed an enhanced PBMC infiltration and killing performance over time. PBMCs (Green) labeled with DiO.

### Acknowledgement

The authors acknowledge the efforts and contributions of numerous staff of Shanghai Medicilon Inc. who were involved in this work.

To discuss this poster, please email: Info@medicilon.com **Copyright 2025**